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Abstract
A neural filtering technique is proposed to enhance the digital images when images are contaminated by impulse noise. This filter is obtained by combining nonlinear filter and feed forward neural network with back propagation algorithm. Nonlinear filtering output is converted into one dimensional sequence in four different ways for neural network training. The neural network is trained using three well known images and the network architecture is tuned to optimization. Using this optimized structure, unknown images are tested. Extensive simulation results show that the proposed intelligent filter is superior performance than the other existing neural filters and nonlinear filters in terms of eliminating impulse noise and preserving edges and fine details.
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Introduction
Digital images are often corrupted by impulse noise during image transmission over communication channel or image acquisition. Filtering is an essential part of any signal processing system, which involves estimation of a signal degraded by additive noise. Several filtering techniques have been reported over the years, for various applications. In early stages, linear filters are an important tool for removal of noise on digital images. However, this filter removing noise in predetermined region with prior knowledge of the noise. In real time application, prior knowledge of the noise is unpredictable one. In addition to this, linear filter is well suited for Gaussian noise removal. It degrades the image quality while images are contaminated by impulse noise. In image processing problems, nonlinear filtering techniques are preferred for image denoising. In general, the filters having good edge and image detail preservation properties are highly desirable for image filtering. The median filter and its variants are among the most commonly used filters for impulse noise removal. The median filters, when applied uniformly across the image, tend to modify both noisy as well as noise free pixels, resulting in blurred and distorted features. Recently, some modified forms of the median filter have been proposed to overcome these limitations [1-5]. In these variants of the median filter, the pixel value is modified only when it is found corrupted with noise. These variants of the median filter still retain the basic rank order structure of the filter. Among these filters, the center weighted median filters (CWMFs) give a large weight to the central pixel, while choosing between the current pixel and the median value. In order to avoid the influence of the noisy pixels on the filtered output, impulse detection filtering had been investigated [6-27].

In order to address these issues, many neural networks have been investigated for image denoising [28-40]. In the last few years, there has been a growing interest in the applications of soft computing techniques, such as neural networks and fuzzy systems, to the problems in digital signal processing. Neural networks are low-level computational structures that perform well when dealing with raw data although neural networks can learn.

Neural networks are composed of simple elements operating in parallel. These elements are inspired by biological nervous systems. As in nature, the network function is determined largely by the connections between elements. This type of training is used to perform a particular function by adjusting the values of the connections (weights) between elements. Commonly neural networks are adjusted or trained to a specific target output which is based on a comparison of the output and the target, until the network output matches the target. Typically many such input-target pairs are needed to train a network.

A feed forward neural architecture with back propagation learning algorithms have been investigated to satisfy both noise elimination and edges and fine details preservation properties when digital images are contaminated by higher level of impulse noise. Back propagation is a common
method of training artificial neural networks algorithm so as to minimize the objective function. It is a multi-stage dynamic system optimization method. It is a supervised learning method, and is a generalization of the delta rule.

In addition to these, the back-propagation learning algorithm is computationally efficient in which its complexity is linear in the synaptic weights of the network. The input-output relation of a feed forward adaptive neural network can be viewed as a powerful nonlinear mapping. Conceptually, a feed forward adaptive network is actually a static mapping between its input and output spaces. Even though, intelligent techniques required certain pattern of data to learn the input. This filtered image data pattern is given through nonlinear filter for training of the input. Therefore, intelligent filter performance depends on conventional filters performance. This work aims to achieving good de-noising without compromising on the useful information of the signal.

In this paper, a new technique is proposed which improves the image quality. The proposed filter is carried in three steps. In first steps, input image is denoised by nonlinear filter. The two dimensional filtered image output is converted into one dimensional sequence in four different ways and each sequence is combined with feed forward neural network for training in second step. Many numbers of architectures have been trained and based on error minimization; particular architecture has been selected for each separate sequence. This each trained data sequence is recovered to its original sequence and then these sequences are integrated using image data fusion system. The trained architecture is optimized for testing the unknown image data. Simulation results show that the proposed filter exhibit superior performance than the other filtering techniques.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 discusses the noise model. Section 3 presents the proposed filtering. The simulation results with different images are presented in section 4 to demonstrate the efficacy of the proposed algorithm. Finally, conclusions are given in section 5.

**Noise Model**

Fundamentally, there are three standard noise models, which model the types of noise encountered in most images; they are additive noise, multiplicative noise and impulse noise. Digital image are often corrupted by salt and pepper noise (or impulse noise). Impulse noise is considered for proposed work. For images corrupted by salt-and-pepper noise (respectively fixed-valued noise), the noisy pixels can take only the maximum and the minimum values (respectively any random value) in the dynamic range. In other words, an image containing salt-and-pepper noise will have dark pixels in bright region and bright pixels in dark regions. A digital image function is given by \( f(i,j) \) where \((i,j)\) is spatial coordinate and \( f \) is intensity at point \((i,j)\). Let \( f(i,j) \) be the original image, \( g(i,j) \) be the noise image version and \( \eta \) be the noise function, which returns random values coming from an arbitrary distribution. Then the additive noise is given by the equation (1)

\[
g(i, j) = f(i, j) + \eta(i, j)
\]

Impulse noise is caused by malfunctioning pixels in camera sensors, dead pixels, faulty memory locations in hardware, erroneous transmission in a channel, analog to digital converter, malfunctioning CCD elements (i.e. hot and dead pixels) and flecks of dust inside the camera most commonly cause the considered kind of noise etc. It also creeps into the images because of bit errors in transmission, faulty memory locations and erroneous switching during quick transients. Two common types of impulse noise are the salt and pepper noise and the random valued noise. The proposed filter first detects the Salt and pepper noise present in digital images in very efficient manner and then removes it. As the impulse noise is additive in nature, noise present in a region does not depend upon the intensities of pixels in that region. Image corrupted with impulse noise contain pixels affected by some probability. The intensity of gray scale pixel is stored as an 8-bit integer giving 256 possible different shades of gray going from black to white, which can be represented as a [0,L-1] (L is 255) integer interval. In this paper the impulse noise is considered. In case of images corrupted by this kind of salt and pepper noise, intensity of the pixel \( A_{ij} \) at location \((i,j)\) is described by the probability density function given by the following equation (2)

\[
f(A_{ij}) = \begin{cases} 
  p_a & \text{for } A_{ij}=a \\
  1-p & \text{for } A_{ij}=Y_{ij} \\
  p_b & \text{for } A_{ij}=b 
\end{cases}
\]

where \( a \) is the minimum intensity (dark dot); \( b \) is the maximum intensity (light dot); \( p_a \) is the probability of intensity \((a)\) generation; \( p_b \) is the probability of intensity \((b)\) generation; \( p \) is the noise density, and \( Y_{ij} \) is the intensity of the pixel at location \((i,j)\) in the uncorrupted image. If either \( p_a \) or \( p_b \) is zero the impulse noise is called unipolar noise. If neither
probability is zero and especially if they are equal, impulse noise is called bipolar noise or salt-and-pepper noise.

**Proposed Filtering Algorithm**

The proposed filter is made by a nonlinear filter, neural filter and image data fusion. The proposed filter is carried in three steps. In first steps, input image is denoised by nonlinear filter. The two dimensional filtered image output is converted in to one dimensional sequence in four ways and each sequence is combined with feed forward neural network for training in second step. All trained image sequence is integrated using image data fusion system. Then the trained architecture is optimized for testing the unknown image data.

A Feed forward Neural Network is a flexible system trained by heuristic learning techniques derived from neural networks can be viewed as a 3-layer neural network with weights and activation functions.

**Nonlinear filter**

The filtering technique proposed in this section detects the impulse noise in the image using a decision mechanism. Consider an image of size N×N having 8-bit gray scale pixel resolution. A two dimensional square filtering window of size 3 x 3 is slid over a highly contaminated image. The pixels inside the window are sorted out in ascending order. Minimum, maximum and median of the pixel values in the processing window are determined. If the central pixel lies between minimum and maximum values, then it is detected as an uncorrupted pixel and the pixel is left undisturbed. Otherwise, it is considered as a corrupted pixel value. In the present case, the central pixel value 0 does not lie between minimum and maximum values. Therefore, the pixel is detected to be a corrupted pixel value. In the present case, the central pixel value 0 does not lie between minimum and maximum values. Therefore, the pixel is detected to be a corrupted pixel value. The corrupted central pixel is replaced by the median of the filtering window, if the median value is not an impulse. If the median value itself is an impulse then the central pixel is replaced by the already processed immediate top neighbouring pixel in the filtering window. Then the window is moved to form a new set of values, with the next pixel to be processed at the centre of the window. This process is repeated until the last image pixel is processed.

The filtered output image and noisy image are in two dimensional forms. These are converted into four one dimensional sequences in four ways. These sequences represent row vector, column vector, left diagonal vector and right diagonal vector of noisy image and filtered image respectively. Consider an example image matrix of size N×N (3x3) having 8-bit gray scale pixel resolution and row is determined. The 4 sequences mapping from the corrupted image are as follow:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FFNN1</th>
<th>FFNN2</th>
<th>FFNN3</th>
<th>FFNN4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OS in LD from NI</td>
<td>OS in LD from NLF</td>
<td>OS in RD from NI</td>
<td>OS in RD from NLF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS in RD from NI</td>
<td>OS in RD from NLF</td>
<td>OS in H from NI</td>
<td>OS in H from NLF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS in V from NI</td>
<td>OS in V from NLF</td>
<td>Average data fusion</td>
<td>Restored image</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 1 Block diagram of the proposed filter**

Fig.1 shows the structure of the proposed impulse noise removal filter. Here, OS represents one dimensional sequence, NLF represents nonlinear filter, LD represents sequence is in left diagonal direction, RD represents sequence is in right diagonal direction, V represents sequence is in vertical direction, H represents sequence is in horizontal direction and FFNN represents feed forward neural network for each input sequence. The proposed filter is obtained by appropriately combining output image data from Nonlinear Filter in four different patterns with separate neural network for training in first stage. Data fusion is carried in second stage. Learning and understanding aptitude of neural network congregate information from the four image data sequences to compute output of the system which is equal to the restored value of noisy input pixel. The nonlinear filter, neural network training and data fusion are explained in following section.
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1) 2D image matrix is converted in to row sequence


(3)

where \( A[i:] \) represents the \( i^{th} \) row vector of the corrupted image; \( ARL \) represents the left and right symmetrical image of the corrupted image.

2) 2D image matrix is converted in to column vector sequence and is given by

\[ aCV = \{A[:1], AUL[:2], A[:3], AUL[:4], ..., A[:N]\} \]

(4)

\[ \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 23 & 55 \\ 43 & 45 & 56 \\ 40 & 50 & 54 \end{bmatrix} \]

where \( A[:i] \) represents the \( i^{th} \) row vector of the corrupted image; \( AUL[:i] \) represents the upper and lower symmetrical image of the corrupted image.

3) 2D image matrix is converted in to left diagonal vector sequence and is given by

\[ aLD = \{D(A, 1), D(A^T, 2), D(A, 3), D(A^T, 4), ..., D(A, 2N-1)\} \]  

(5)

\[ \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 23 & 55 \\ 43 & 45 & 56 \\ 40 & 50 & 54 \end{bmatrix} \]

Left diagonal vector (1D data) from 2D data

\[ \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 23 & 55 \\ 43 & 45 & 56 \\ 40 & 50 & 54 \end{bmatrix} \]

where, \( D(A,i) \) represents the \( i^{th} \) diagonal matrix of the image matrix \( A; A^T \) represents the vector transpose matrix of the image matrix \( A; A \) represents the corrupted image.

4) 2D image matrix is converted in to right diagonal vector sequence and is given by

\[ aRD = \{D(A, 90, 1), D(A, 90, 2), D(A, 90, 3), D(A, 90, 4), ..., D(A, 90, 2N-1)\} \]  

(6)

\[ \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 23 & 55 \\ 43 & 45 & 56 \\ 40 & 50 & 54 \end{bmatrix} \]

Right diagonal vector (1D data) from 2D data

\[ \begin{bmatrix} 54 & 50 & 56 \\ 55 & 45 & 40 \\ 43 & 23 & 12 \end{bmatrix} \]

where, \( A_{90} \) represents the \( i^{th} \) that has been counter clock-wise rotated by 90 degree by the corrupted image matrix. Then each one dimensional sequence of noisy image and filtered image data are trained using feed forward neural network with back propagation algorithm separately. Different architectures are trained for each one dimensional sequence of data. Based on the image quality, a particular trained architecture is selected for the proposed work.

Feed forward Neural Network

In feed forward neural network, back propagation algorithm is popular general nonlinear modelling tool because it is very suitable for tuning by optimization and one to one mapping between input and output data. The input-output relationship of the network is as shown in Fig.2.

**Fig.2 Feed Forward Neural Network Architecture**

In Fig.2, \( x_m \) represents the total number of input image pixels as data, \( n_h \) represents the number neurons in the hidden unit, \( k \) represents he number hidden layer and \( l \) represents the number of neurons in each hidden layer. A feed forward back propagation neural network consists of two layers. The first layer or hidden layer, has a tan sigmoid activation function is represented by

\[ \phi(y_i) = \tanh(v_i) \]  

(7)

This function is a hyperbolic tangent which ranges from -1 to 1, \( y_i \) is the output of the \( i^{th} \) node (neuron) and \( v_i \) is the weighted sum of the input and the second layer or output layer, has a linear activation function. Thus, the first layer limits the output to a narrow range, from which the linear layer can produce all
values. The output of each layer can be represented by

\[ Y \mid_{N \times 1} = f(W \mid_{N \times M} X \mid_{M \times 1} + b \mid_{N \times 1}) \]

(8)

where \( Y \) is a vector containing the output from each of the \( N \) neurons in each given layer, \( n \) represents number of hidden layers; \( n=1, 2, \ldots, n \), \( W \) is a matrix containing the weights for each of the \( M \) inputs for all \( N \) neurons, \( X \) is a vector containing the inputs, \( b \) is a vector containing the biases and \( f(\cdot) \) is the activation function for both hidden layer and output layer.

The trained network was created using the neural network toolbox from Matlab 9b.0 release. In a back propagation network, there are two steps during training. The back propagation step calculates the error in the gradient descent and propagates it backwards to each neuron in the hidden layer. In the second step, depending upon the values of activation function from hidden layer, the weights and biases are then recomputed, and the output from the activated neurons is then propagated forward from the hidden layer to the output layer. The network is initialized with random weights and biases, and was then trained using the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm (LM). The weights and biases are updated according to

\[ D_{n+1} = D_n - (J^T J + \mu I)^{-1} J^T e \]

(9)

where \( D_n \) is a matrix containing the current weights and biases, \( D_{n+1} \) is a matrix containing the new weights and biases, \( e \) is the network error, \( J \) is a Jacobian matrix containing the first derivative of \( e \) with respect to the current weights and biases, \( I \) is the identity matrix and \( \mu \) is a variable that increases or decreases based on the performance function. The gradient of the error surface, \( g \), is equal to \( J^T e \).

**Training of the Feed Forward Neural Network**

Feed forward neural network is training using back propagation algorithm. There are two types of training or learning modes in back propagation algorithm namely sequential mode and batch mode respectively. In sequential learning, a given input pattern is propagated forward and error is determined and back propagated, and the weights are updated. Whereas, in Batch mode learning; weights are updated only after the entire set of training network has been presented to the network. Thus the weight update is only performed after each epoch. It is advantageous to accumulate the weight correction terms for several patterns. To improve the image quality, batch mode learning is selected for the proposed neural network training. For better understanding, the back propagation learning algorithm can be divided into two phases: propagation and weight update. In first phase, propagation involves the following steps: a) Forward propagation of a training pattern’s input through the neural network in order to generate the propagation’s output activations. b) Backward propagation of the propagation’s output activations through the neural network using the training pattern's target in order to generate the deltas of all output and hidden neurons. In second phase, each weight-synapse follows the following steps: a) multiply its output delta and input activation to get the gradient of the weight. b) Bring the weight in the opposite direction of the gradient by subtracting a ratio of it from the weight. This ratio influences the speed and quality of learning; it is called the learning rate. The sign of the gradient of a weight indicates where the error is increasing; this is why the weight must be updated in the opposite direction. Repeat phase 1 and 2 until the performance of the network is satisfactory.

In addition, neural network recognizes certain pattern of data only and also it entails difficulties to learn logically to identify the error data from the given input image. In order to improve the learning and understanding properties of neural network, filtered output image data is introduced to the neural network for training. In this paper, training is carried in three stages. In first stage, noisy input data and filtered output data are converted in to four different pattern of one dimensional sequences namely row vector, column vector, left diagonal vector and right diagonal vector respectively. Four neural network architectures are used for these four sequences separately.

In second stage, one dimensional sequence of noisy data and filtered data are inputs for neural network training structure. Each feed forward neural network is trained for different architectures. Based on the quantitative measurements, a particular architecture is selected for next stage. FFNN1 is trained using single hidden layer with 4 neurons, FFNN2 is trained using two hidden layers with 5 neurons in each layer, FFNN3 is trained using single hidden layer with 6 neurons and FFNN4 is trained using single hidden layer with 7 neurons. Noise free image is considered as a target image for training data and then average is calculated for these four sequences of data for neural network training in next stage.

In this stage, the average data is again trained using FFNN for image enhancement. This stage is referred as third stage. Back propagation is pertained as network training principle and the parameters of this network are then iteratively tuned. Once the training
of the neural network is completed, its internal parameters are fixed and the network is combined with the nonlinear filter output and noisy image to construct the proposed technique, as shown in Fig.3. While training a neural network, network structure is fixed. The performance evaluation is obtained through simulation results and shown to be superior performance to other existing filtering techniques in terms of impulse noise elimination and edges and fine detail preservation properties.

The feed forward neural network used in the structure of the proposed filter acts like a mixture operator and attempts to construct an enhanced output image by combining the information from the Nonlinear filtered output image and noisy image. The rules of mixture are represented by the rules in the rule base of the neural network and the mixture process is implemented by the mechanism of the neural network. The feed forward neural network is trained by using back propagation algorithm and the parameters of the neural network are then iteratively tuned using the Levenberg–Marquardt optimization algorithm, so as to minimize the learning error, $e$.

The neural network trained structure is optimized and the tuned parameters are fixed for testing the unknown images. The internal parameters of the neural network are optimized by training.

Fig.4 represents the setup used for training and here, the parameters of this network are iteratively optimized so that its output converges to original noise free image by which the definition, completely removes the noise from its input image. The well known images are trained using this selected neural network and the network structure is optimized. The unknown images are tested using optimized neural network structure.

In order to get effective filtering performance, already existing neural network filters are trained with image data and tested using equal noise density. But in practical situation, information about the noise density of the received signal is unpredictable one. Therefore; in this paper, the neural network architecture is trained using denoised three well known images which are corrupted by adding different noise density levels of 0.4, 0.45, 0.5 and 0.6 noise density level and also trained for different hidden layers with different number of neurons. Noise density with 0.45 gave optimum solution for
both lower and higher level noise corruption. Therefore images are corrupted with 45% of noise is selected for training. Then the performance error of the given trained data and trained neural network structure are observed for each network. Among these neural network Structures, the trained neural network structure with the minimum error level is selected ($10^{-3}$) and this trained network structures are fixed for testing the received image signal. 

Network is trained for 30 different architectures and the corresponding network structure is fixed. PSNR is measured on Lena test image for all architectures with various noise densities. Among these, based on the maximum PSNR values; selected architectures is summarized in table 4 for Lena image corrupted with 70% impulse noise. Finally, based on the accuracy, optimum solution and the maximum PSNR value; neural network architecture with noise density of 0.45 and two hidden layers with 7 neurons in each hidden layer is selected for training.

![Fig.4 Performance of training image: (a1, 2 and 3) original images, (b1,2 and 3) images corrupted with 45% of noise and (c1, 2 and 3) trained images](image)

Fig.4 shows the images which are used for training. Three different images are used for network. This noise density level is well suited for testing the different noise level of unknown images in terms of quantitative and qualitative metrics. The image shown in Fig.4 (a1,2 and3) are the noise free training image: cameraman, Baboonlion and ship. The size of an each training image is 256 x 256. The images in Fig.4 (b1, 2 and 3) are the noisy training images and are obtained by corrupting the noise free training image by impulse noise of 45% noise density. The image in Fig.4 (c1, 2 and 3) are the trained images by neural network. The images in Fig.4 (b) and (a) are employed as the input and the target (desired) images during training, respectively.

**Testing of unknown images using trained structure of neural network**

The optimized architecture that obtained the best performance for training with three images has 196608 data in the input layer, two hidden layers and 7 neurons in each layer and one output layer. The network trained with 45% impulse noise shows superior performance for testing under various noise levels. Also, to ensure faster processing, only the corrupted pixels from test images are identified and processed by the optimized neural network structure. As the uncorrupted pixels do not require further processing, they are directly taken as the output. The chosen network has been extensively tested for several images with different level of impulse noise.

![Fig.5 Testing of the images using optimized feed forward adaptive neural network structure](image)

Fig.5 shows the exact procedure for taking corrupted data for testing the received image signals for the proposed filter. In order to reduce the computation time in real time implementation; in the first stage, three different class of filters are applied on unknown images and then pixels (data) from filtered outputs of Nonlinear filtered output data and noisy image data are obtained and applied as inputs for optimized neural network structure for testing; these pixels are corresponding to the pixel position of the corrupted pixels on noisy image. At the same time, noise free pixels from input are directly taken as output pixels. The tested pixels are
replaced in the same location on corrupted image instead of noisy pixels. The most distinctive feature of the proposed filter offers excellent line, edge, and fine detail preservation performance and also effectively removes impulse noise from the image. Usually conventional filters give denoised image output and then these images are enhanced using these conventional outputs as input for neural filter while these outputs are combined with the network. Since, networks need certain pattern to learn and understand the given data.

**Filtering of the noisy image**

The noisy input image is processed by sliding the 3x3 filtering window on the image. This filtering window is considered for a nonlinear filter. The window is started from the upper-left corner of the noisy input image, and moved rightwards and progressively downwards in a raster scanning fashion. For each filtering window, the nine pixels contained within the window of noisy image are first fed to the nonlinear filter. Next, the center pixel of the filtering window on an output of the conventional filtered output for different sequences are applied to the appropriate input for data fusion and then the output of data fusion is again trained using neural network. Finally, the restored image is obtained at the output of this network.

**Results and discussion**

The performance of the proposed filtering technique for image quality enhancement is tested for various level impulse noise densities. Four images are selected for testing with size of 256 x 256 including Baboon, Lena, Pepper and Ship. All test images are 8-bit gray level images. The experimental images used in the simulations are generated by contaminating the original images by impulse noise with different level of noise density. The experiments are especially designed to reveal the performances of the filters for different image properties and noise conditions. The performances of all filters are evaluated by using the peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR) criterion, which is defined as more objective image quality measurement and is given by the equation (10)

$$\text{PSNR} = 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{255^2}{\text{MSE}} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where

$$\text{MSE} = \frac{1}{MN} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (x(i,j) - y(i,j))^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Here, $M$ and $N$ represents the number of rows and column of the image and $x(i,j)$ and $y(i,j)$ represents the original and the restored versions of a corrupted test image, respectively. Since all experiments are related with impulse noise.

**Table 1 PSNR obtained by applying proposed filter on Lena image corrupted with 70% of impulse noise**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>No. of hidden layers</th>
<th>No. of neuron in each hidden layer</th>
<th>PSNR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21.3581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>25.2442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25.2480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25.2342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25.2345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25.1636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25.2514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25.2351</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2 Training parameters for feed forward neural network**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Achieved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Performance error</td>
<td>0.000869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Learning Rate (LR)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>No. of epochs taken to meet the performance goal</td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Time taken to learn</td>
<td>3105 seconds</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3 Bias and Weight updation in optimized training neural network**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1st Hidden layer</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Bias</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weights from $x_1$ to $n_{11}$</td>
<td>18.85</td>
<td>-17.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weights from $x_1$ to $n_{12}$</td>
<td>22.97</td>
<td>-20.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weights from $x_1$ to $n_{13}$</td>
<td>-16.66</td>
<td>10.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weights from $x_1$ to $n_{14}$</td>
<td>19.77</td>
<td>-9.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weights from $x_1$ to $n_{15}$</td>
<td>532.9</td>
<td>-140.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weights from $x_1$ to $n_{16}$</td>
<td>-13.34</td>
<td>3.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weights from $x_1$ to $n_{17}$</td>
<td>-14.74</td>
<td>-1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weights from $x_1$ to $n_{18}$</td>
<td>7.23</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>Bias</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The experimental procedure to evaluate the performance of a proposed filter is as follows: The noise density is varied from 10% to 90% with 10% increments. For each noise density step, the four test images are corrupted by impulse noise with that noise density. This generates four different experimental images, each having the same noise density. These images are restored by using the operator under experiment, and the PSNR values are calculated for the restored output images. By this method nine different PSNR values representing the filtering performance of that operator for different image properties, then this technique is separately repeated for all noise densities from 10% to 90% to obtain the variation of the average PSNR value of the proposed filter as a function of noise density. The entire input data are normalized in to the range of [0 1], whereas the output data is assigned to one for the highest probability and zero for the lowest probability. The architecture with two hidden layer and 7 neurons in each layer yielded the best performance. The various parameters for the neural network training for all the patterns are given in Table 2.

In Table 2, Performance error represents Mean square error (MSE). It is a sum of the statistical bias and variance. The neural network performance can be improved by reducing both the statistical bias and the statistical variance. However there is a natural trade-off between the bias and variance. Learning Rate is a control parameter of training algorithms, which controls the step size when weights are iteratively adjusted. The learning rate is a constant in the algorithm of a neural network that affects the speed of learning. It will apply a smaller or larger proportion of the current adjustment to the previous weight. If LR is low, network will learn all information from the given input data and it takes long time to learn. If it is high, network will skip some information from the given input data and it will make fast training. However lower learning rate gives better performance than higher learning rate. The learning time of a simple neural-network model is obtained through an analytic computation of the Eigen value spectrum for the Hessian matrix, which describes the second-order properties of the objective function in the space of coupling coefficients. The results are generic for symmetric matrices obtained by summing outer products of random vectors. During the training of neural network, bias and weights in each neurons are updated from inputs to output layer and these updation are summarized in Table 3.

| Output layer | Weights from n1,2,7 to n21 | Weights from n1,2,7 to n22 | Weights from n1,2,7 to n23 | Weights from n1,2,7 to n24 | Weights from n1,2,7 to n25 | Weights from n1,2,7 to n26 | Weights from n1,2,7 to n27 | Weights from n21 to o | Weights from n22 to o | Weights from n23 to o | Weights from n24 to o | Weights from n25 to o | Weights from n26 to o | Weights from n27 to o |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| n1,2,7 to n21 | 2.28;1.49;0.27; 0.05        | 6.36;4.47;5.07; 2.04;5.95;29.93;1.33 | -8.13;9.44;24.15; 36.53;8.30;28.74;50.30 | -3.02;0.89;0.45; 1.19;138.9;312.1;85.10 | 9.07;10.62;4.02; 0.01;0.03;0.41; 86.50 | -1.02;4.30;3.17; 0.42;0.108;1.45; 6.03 | -9.92;9.29;9.44; 8.71;22.60;57.54;9.61 | 0.10                      | -4.69                      | 2.77                      | -0.16                      | 0.44                      | -0.12                      | 0.003                      |

Fig.6 Performance error graph for feed forward neural network with back propagation algorithm

In Fig.6 and Fig.7 represent Performance error graph for error minimization and training state respectively. This Learning curves produced by networks using


[819-831]
non-random (fixed-order) and random submission of training and also this shows the error goal and error achieved by the neural system.

In order to prove the effectiveness of this filter, existing filtering techniques are experimented and compared with the proposed filter for visual perception and subjective evaluation on Lena image including the standard Median Filter (MF), the Weighted median filter (WMF), the Center weighted median filter (CWMF), the Tri state median filter (TSMF), a New impulse detector (NID), Multiple decision based median filter (MDBSMF), Decision based median filter (DBSMF), Nonlinear filter (NF), Neural based post processing filtering techniques (NBPPFT), An artificial intelligent technique for image enhancement (AIT) and proposed filter in Fig.8.

### Table 4. Performance of PSNR for different filtering techniques on Lena image corrupted with various % of impulse noise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filtering Techniques</th>
<th>Noise percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MF</td>
<td>31.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMF</td>
<td>23.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWMF</td>
<td>28.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSMF</td>
<td>31.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NID</td>
<td>37.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDBSMF</td>
<td>34.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBSMF</td>
<td>40.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFT</td>
<td>39.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDBUTMF</td>
<td>38.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NBPPF</td>
<td>40.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Filter</td>
<td>47.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lena test image contaminated with the impulse noise of various densities are summarized in Table4 for quantitative metrics for different filtering techniques and compared with the proposed filtering technique and is graphically illustrated in Fig.9. The summarized values for nonlinear filter are graphically illustrated in Fig.10 for the performance comparison of the proposed intelligent filter. This qualitative measurement proves that the proposed filtering technique outperforms the other filtering schemes for the noise densities up to 70%.

The PSNR performance explores the quantitative measurement. In order to check the performance of the feed forward neural network, percentage improvement (PI) in PSNR is also calculated for performance comparison between conventional filters.
and proposed neural filter for Lena image and is summarized in Table 5. This PI in PSNR is calculated by the following equation 8.

\[
PI = \left( \frac{PSNR_{CF} - PSNR_{NF}}{PSNR_{CF}} \right) \times 100
\]

where PI represents percentage in PSNR, PSNR_{CF} represents PSNR for conventional filter and PSNR_{NF} represents PSNR values for the designed neural filter. Here, the conventional filters are combined with neural network which gives the proposed filter, so that the performance of conventional filter is improved.

In Table 5, the summarized PSNR values for conventional filters namely NF and DBSMF seem to perform well for human visual perception when images are corrupted up to 30% of impulse noise. These filters performance are better for quantitative measures when images are corrupted up to 50% of impulse noise. In addition to these, image enhancement is nothing but improving the visual quality of digital images for some application. In order to improve the performance of visual quality of image using these filters, image enhancement as well as reduction in misclassification of pixels on a given image is obtained by applying Feed forward neural network with back propagation algorithm.

The summarized PSNR values in Table 5 for the proposed neural filter appears to perform well for human visual perception when images are corrupted up to 70% of impulse noise. These filters performance are better for quantitative measures when images are corrupted up to 80% of impulse noise. PI is graphically illustrated in Fig.11.
Fig. 11 PI in PSNR obtained on Lena image for the proposed filter corrupted with various densities of mixed impulse noise

![Fig. 11 PI in PSNR obtained on Lena image for the proposed filter corrupted with various densities of mixed impulse noise](image)

Table 6 PSNR values obtained by applying proposed filtering technique on different test images corrupted with various densities of impulse noise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noise %</th>
<th>Baboon</th>
<th>Lena</th>
<th>Pepper</th>
<th>Rice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>41.37</td>
<td>47.6402</td>
<td>50.28</td>
<td>45.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>36.84</td>
<td>41.7599</td>
<td>44.53</td>
<td>39.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>31.80</td>
<td>37.1844</td>
<td>40.85</td>
<td>35.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>28.16</td>
<td>33.1073</td>
<td>36.37</td>
<td>31.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>25.73</td>
<td>30.4572</td>
<td>33.56</td>
<td>28.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>23.05</td>
<td>28.0538</td>
<td>31.14</td>
<td>26.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>20.16</td>
<td>25.2514</td>
<td>28.73</td>
<td>23.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>17.38</td>
<td>21.6247</td>
<td>24.52</td>
<td>19.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>14.43</td>
<td>18.3916</td>
<td>21.34</td>
<td>17.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 12 Performance of test images: (a1,2 and 3) original images, (b1,2 and 3) images corrupted with 70% of noise and (c1,2 and 3) images enhanced by proposed filter.

![Fig. 12 Performance of test images: (a1,2 and 3) original images, (b1,2 and 3) images corrupted with 70% of noise and (c1,2 and 3) images enhanced by proposed filter.](image)

Digital images are nonstationary process; therefore depends on properties of edges and homogenous region of the test images, each digital images having different quantitative measures. Fig. 12 illustrate the subjective performance for proposed filtering Technique for Baboon, Lena, Pepper and Rice images: noise free image in first column, images corrupted with 50% impulse noise in second column, Images restored by proposed Filtering Technique in third column. This will felt out the properties of digital images. Performance of quantitative analysis is evaluated and is summarized in Table. 6.

This is graphically illustrated in Fig. 13. This qualitative and quantitative measurement shows that the proposed filtering technique outperforms the other filtering schemes for the noise densities up to 50%. Since there is an improvement in PSNR values of all images up to 70% while compare to PSNR values of conventional filters output which are selected for inputs of the network training.

The qualitative and quantitative performance of Pepper and Rice images are better than the other images for the noise levels ranging from 10% to 70%. But for higher noise levels, the Pepper image is better. The Baboon image seems to perform poorly for higher noise levels. Based on the intensity level or brightness level of the image, it is concluded that the performance of the images like pepper, Lena, Baboon and Rice will change. Since digital images are nonstationary process.

The proposed filtering technique is found to have eliminated the impulse noise completely while preserving the image features quite satisfactorily. This novel filter can be used as a powerful tool for efficient removal of impulse noise from digital images without distorting the useful information in the image and gives more pleasant for visual perception.

In addition, it can be observed that the proposed filter for image enhancement is better in preserving the
edges and fine details than the other existing filtering algorithm. It is constructed by appropriately combining a nonlinear filter and a neural network. This technique is simple in implementation and in training; the proposed operator may be used for efficiently filtering any image corrupted by impulse noise of virtually any noise density. Further, it can be observed that the proposed filter for image quality enhancement is better in preserving the edges and fine details than the other existing filtering algorithm.

Conclusion

Neural Filtering Technique for image enhancement is described in this paper. The efficacy of this proposed filter is illustrated by applying the filter on various test images contaminated by different levels of noise. This filter outperforms the existing median based filter in terms of qualitative and quantitative measures. The corrupted pixels from input image only taken as input for neural network; As a result, misclassification of pixels is avoided. So that the proposed filter output images are found to be pleasant for visual perception. Further, the proposed filter is suitable for real-time implementation, and applications because of its adaptive in nature.
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